

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/422, 015
 10/21/99
 PECHANEK
 G
 800.0039

TM01/1121

PETER H PRIEST LAW OFFICES OF PETER H PRIEST 529 DOGWOOD DRIVE CHAPEL HILL NC 27516

KIM.K			
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2183	5		

11/21/00

EXAMINER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	1 4777015	- General	1 G	PECHANER
	Examiner	1-70101	Group Art I	PECHANEK Jnit 3
	Ken S	· Kim	Group Art I	}
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover shee			
iod for Response	1,			
HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	TVEL MONT	H(S) FROM	THE
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by definiture to respond within the set or extended period for response will,	a response within the stateaut, expire SIX (6) MONT	tutory minimum of the THS from the mailing	nirty (30) days v	vill be considered timely mmunication .
tus				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-21-9	9		
☐ This action is FINAL.	7	/		·
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1939			the merits i	s closed in
position of Claims				
	is/are p	pending in th	e application.	
Claim(s) $/-56$ Of the above claim(s) $39-48$, 5	is/are v	withdrawn fro	m consideration.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are ı	reiected.		
☐ Claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)			-	ction or election
plication Papers		require		
∃ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	1 Review PTO-948			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	-	d □ disapprove	d.	ſ
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	• •	• •		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			<u> </u>	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		/	(5
ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		(1011
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. 			KENNET PRIMARY	H S. KIM EXAMINER
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest 	•			
*Certified copies not received:			·	
achment(s)				
achment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s)	☐ Interview Sumr	nary, PTO-4°	13
			•	13 oplication, PTO-152

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Part of Paper No.

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Art Unit: 2183

- 1. Claims 1-56 are presented for examination.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-38 and 49-51, drawn to a method of generating abbreviated instructions from native instructions and converting back to the native instructions, classified in class 712, subclass 200.
 - II. Claims 39-43, drawn to a method of base register relative indexing a translation memory, classified in class 711, subclass 220.
 - III. Claims 44-48, drawn to a pipelined processor with expand and dispatch stage, classified in class 712, subclass 219.
 - IV. Claims52-56, drawn to a method of dual instruction fetch processing, classified in class 712, subclass 206.
- 3. Inventions of group I and groups II, III, and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention of group I can be used in a system without the base register relative indexing, pipelined expand and dispatch stage, and the dual instruction fetch. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system without the specific method of generating and converting abbreviated instructions from the native instructions.

Application/Control Number: 09/422,015 Page 3

Art Unit: 2183

- 4. Inventions of group II and groups III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention of group II can be used in a system without the pipelined expand and dispatch stage and the dual instruction fetch. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system without the base register relative indexing.
- 5. Inventions of group III and group IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention of group III can be used in a system without the dual instruction fetch. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system without the pipelined expand and dispatch stage.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/422,015

Art Unit: 2183

- 7. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Peter H. Priest on November 14, 2000 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-38 and 49-51. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 39-48 and 52-56 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2183

11. Claims 1-38 and 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsushima et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,044,450.

Tsushima et al teaches the invention as claimed in claim 1 including a method for generating a program utilizing an abbreviated instruction set comprising the steps of :

(a) generating a native program for an application utilizing a set of native instructions

(col. 1, line 36; col. 2, line 53),

- (b) debugging the native program (well known),
- (c) processing the debugged native program to determine an abbreviated instruction set corresponding to the set of native instructions (col. 2, line 54),
- (d) converting the program by replacing the set of native instructions with the abbreviated instruction set (col. 2, line 55), and

further teaches as in claims 2-17,

- (e) wherein the step of processing further comprises analyzing the set of native instructions to identify a first group of native instructions having a style pattern of bits which is defined as a specific pattern of bits that are constant for said group (col. 3, line 52) claim 2,
- (f) storing the identified style pattern of bits in a translation memory (307 or col. 23, line 41) claims 3 and 6
- (g) recreate native instructions by combining (operand) bits from the abbreviated instructions with the style pattern of bits stored in said translation memory (col. 13, line66) claims 4 and 7

Art Unit: 2183

- (h) analyzing the set of native instructions to identify multiple groups of native instructions, each group having a style pattern of bits (figs, 12A, 12B, and 12C) claim 5,
- (i) creating a one-to-one mapping by using a translation memory addressing mechanism (col. 8, line 44) claims 8 and 9,
- (j) said addressing mechanism comprising the step of adding offset field contained in the abbreviated instruction to a base address in a register to address the memory (col. 23, line 65) claims 10 and 11,
- (k) executing the converted program on a Manta-2 based simulator to verify its functional equivalence (well known) claims 12 and 14,
- (I) determining a processor core specification tailored for use in implementing the converted program utilizing the abbreviated instruction set (inherent) claim 13,
- (m) native instruction set is a ManArray instruction set for a ManArray processor (can be any instruction set for any specific processor) claims 15 and 16,
- (n) translating back is performed only by a sequence processor (can be any specific part of a system) claim 17, and

further teaches as in claims 24, 26, 27, and 37,

- (o) generating at least one translation management memory instruction (col. 14, line 27) claim 24,
- (p) fetching said abbreviated instructions (202), translating to native instructions (300), and dispatching the native instructions to processing element (204, 205, 206, 207) claim 26,

Art Unit: 2183

(q) the abbreviated instruction includes at least one S/P bit (can have any field), multi-bit op-code field (10A), and multi-bit translation memory address offset (10a) – claim 27,

(r) selecting a first multi-bit portion of the native instruction (21), selecting a second multi-bit portion of the native instruction (34), and combining both multi-bit portions into a native instruction format (reverse process of col. 7, line 57) – claim 37.

The method claims 18-26, the method claims 26-38, and the system claims 49-51 are equivalently rejected based on the same reason.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bauer et al taught a method of expanding compressed instruction using a programmable decode/expansion unit.

Worrell taught a method of decompressing compressed instructions.

<u>Miller et al</u> taught a method of packing multiple compressed instructions into one abbreviated instruction (that requires, in the recreating step, combining two translated native instructions).

<u>Denman</u> taught a method of expanding compressed instructions by copying a portion of the abbreviated instruction.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (703) 305-9693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (703) 305-9712. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 2183

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-5404 for regular communications and (703) 306-5404 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

November 17, 2000

KENNETH S. KIM

Page 8